arXiv:1109.1472v1 [math.DG] 7 Sep 2011

WEAK LIMIT OF AN IMMERSED SURFACE SEQUENCE WITH BOUNDED WILLMORE FUNCTIONAL

YUXIANG LI
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES,
TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY,
BEIJING 100084, P.R.CHINA.
EMAIL: YXLI@MATH.TSINGHUA.EDU.CN.

Abstract. This paper is an extension of [K-L]. In this paper, we will study the blowup behavior of a surface sequence Σ_k immersed in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with bounded Willmore functional and fixed genus g. We will prove that, we can decompose Σ_k into finitely many parts:

$$\Sigma_k = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \Sigma_k^i,$$

and find $p_k^i \in \Sigma_k^i$, $\lambda_k^i \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $\frac{\Sigma_k^i - p_k^i}{\lambda_k^i}$ converges locally in the sense of varifolds to a complete branched immersed surface Σ_∞^i with

$$\sum_i \int_{\Sigma_\infty^i} K_{\Sigma_\infty^i} = 2\pi(2-2g).$$

The basic tool we use in this paper is a generalized convergence theorem of F. Hélein.

Keywords: Willmore functional, Bubble tree.
Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 58E20, Secondary 35J35.

1. Introduction

For an immersed surface $f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^n$ the Willmore functional is defined by

$$W(f) = \frac{1}{4}\int_\Sigma |H_f|^2 d\mu_f,$$

where $H_f = \Delta_{g_f} f$ denotes the mean curvature vector of f, $g_f = f^* g_{euc}$ the pull-back metric and μ_f the induced area measure on Σ. This functional first appeared in the papers of Blaschke [Bl] and Thomsen [T], and was reinvented and popularized by Willmore [W].

We denote the infimum of Willmore functional of immersed surfaces of genus p by β_p^n. We have $\beta_p^n \geq 4\pi$ by Gauss-Bonnet formula, and $\beta_p^n < 8\pi$ as observed by Pinkall and Kusner [K] independently. Willmore conjectured that β_1^n is attained by Clifford torus. This conjecture is still open.

Given a surface sequence with bounded Willmore functional and measure, we are particularly interested to know what the limit looks like? In other words, we expect to understand the blowup behavior of such a surface sequence. It is very important as we meet blowup almost everywhere in the study of Willmore functional. For example, if Σ_t is a Willmore flow defined on $[0,T)$, then by ϵ-regularity proved in [K-S], $\int_{B_\rho\cap\Sigma_t}|A_t|^2 < \epsilon$ implies $\|\nabla_{g_t}^m A_t\|_{L^\infty(B_{\frac{\rho}{2}}\cap\Sigma_t)} < C(m,\rho)$. Then Σ_t converges smoothly in any compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ minus the concentration points set which is defined by

$$\mathcal{S} = \{p \in \mathbb{R}^n : \lim_{r\to 0}\liminf_{t\to T}\int_{B_r(p)\cap\Sigma_t}|A_t|^2 > 0\}.$$

So, if we want to have a good knowledge of Willmore flow, we have to learn the behavior, especially the structure of the bubble trees of Σ_t near the concentration points.

Note that $W(f_k) < C$ implies $\int_\Sigma |A_k|^2 d\mu_k < C'$. One expects that $\|f_k\|_{W^{2,2}}$ is equivalent to $\int |A_k|^2 d\mu_k = \int g_k^{ij} g_k^{km} A_{ik} A_{jm} \sqrt{|g_k|}dx$. However, it is not always true. One reason is that the diffeomorphism group of a surface is extremely big. Therefore, even when an immersion sequence f_k converges smoothly, we can easily find a diffeomorphism sequence ϕ_k such that $f_k \circ \phi_k$ will not converge. Moreover, the Sobolev embedding $W^{2,2q} \hookrightarrow C^1$ is invalid when $q = 1$, so that it is impossible to estimate the L^∞ norms of g_k^{-1} and g_k via the Sobolev inequalities directly.

To overcome these difficulties, an approximate decomposition lemma was used by L. Simon when he proved the existence of the minimizer [S]. He proved that β_p^n can be attained if $p = 1$ or

$$p > 1, \quad \textit{and} \quad \beta_p^n < \omega_p^n = \min\Big\{4\pi + \sum_i (\beta_{p_i}^n - 4\pi) : \sum_i p_i = p,\, 1 \leq p_i < p\Big\}. \tag{1.1}$$

Then Bauer and Kuwert proved that (1.1) is always true, thus β_p^n can be attained for any p and n [B-K]. Later, such a technique was extended by W. Minicozzi to get the minimizer of W on Lagrangian tori [M], by Kuwert-Schätzle to get the minimizer of W in a fixed conformal class [K-S3], and by Schätzle to get the minimizer of W with boundary condition [Sh].

In a recent paper [K-L], we presented a new approach. Given an immersion sequence f_k, we consider each f_k as a conformal immersion of (Σ, h_k) in $\mathbb{R}^n$, where h_k is the smooth metric with Gaussian curvature ±1 or 0. On the one hand, the conformal diffeomorphism group of (Σ, h_k) is very small. On the other hand, if we set $g_{f_k} = e^{2u_k} g_{euc}$ on an isothermal coordinate system, then we can estimate $\|u_k\|_{L^\infty}$ from the compensated compactness property of $K_{f_k} e^{2u_k}$. Thus we may get the upper boundary of $\|f_k\|_{W^{2,2}}$ via the equation $\Delta_{h_k} f_k = H_{f_k}$. However, the compensated compactness only holds when the L^2 norm of the second fundamental form is small locally, thus the blowup analysis is needed here. Our basic tools are the following 2 results:

Theorem 1.1. [H] *Let $f_k \in W^{2,2}(D, \mathbb{R}^n)$ be a sequence of conformal immersions with induced metrics $(g_k)_{ij} = e^{2u_k}\delta_{ij}$, and assume*

$$\int_D |A_{f_k}|^2 \, d\mu_{g_k} \leq \gamma < \gamma_n = \begin{cases} 8\pi & \textit{for } n = 3, \\ 4\pi & \textit{for } n \geq 4. \end{cases}$$

Assume also that $\mu_{g_k}(D) \leq C$ and $f_k(0) = 0$. Then f_k is bounded in $W^{2,2}_{loc}(D, \mathbb{R}^n)$, and there is a subsequence such that one of the following two alternatives holds:

- (a) *u_k is bounded in $L^\infty_{loc}(D)$ and f_k converges weakly in $W^{2,2}_{loc}(D, \mathbb{R}^n)$ to a conformal immersion $f \in W^{2,2}_{loc}(D, \mathbb{R}^n)$.*
- (b) *$u_k \to -\infty$ and $f_k \to 0$ locally uniformly on D.*

Theorem 1.2. [D-K] *Let h_k, h_0 be smooth Riemannian metrics on a surface M, such that $h_k \to h_0$ in $C^{s,\alpha}(M)$, where $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Then for each $p \in M$ there exist neighborhoods U_k, U_0 and smooth conformal diffeomorphisms $\vartheta_k : D \to U_k$, such that $\vartheta_k \to \vartheta_0$ in $C^{s+1,\alpha}(\overline{D}, M)$.*

A $W^{2,2}$-conformal immersion is defined as follows:

Definition 1.3. *Let (Σ, g) be a Riemann surface. A map $f \in W^{2,2}(\Sigma, g, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is called a conformal immersion, if the induced metric $g_f = df \otimes df$ is given by*

$$g_f = e^{2u} g \quad \textit{where } u \in L^\infty(\Sigma).$$

For a Riemann surface Σ *the set of all* $W^{2,2}$*-conformal immersions is denoted by* $W^{2,2}_{\mathrm{conf}}(\Sigma, g, \mathbb{R}^n)$*. When* $f \in W^{2,2}_{loc}(\Sigma, g, \mathbb{R}^n)$ *and* $u \in L^\infty_{loc}(\Sigma)$*, we say* $f \in W^{2,2}_{conf,loc}(\Sigma, g, \mathbb{R}^n)$*.*

Remark 1.4. *F. Hélein first proved Theorem 1.1 is true for* $\gamma < \frac{8\pi}{3}$ [H, Theorem 5.1.1]*. In* [K-L]*, we show that the constant* γ_n *is optimal.*

Theorem 1.1 together with Theorem 1.2 give the convergence of a $W^{2,2}$-conformal sequence of (D, h_k) in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with h_k converging smoothly to h_0.

Then using the theory of moduli space of Riemann surface, we proved in [K-L] the following

Theorem 1.5. [K-L] *Let* $f \in W^{2,2}_{conf}(\Sigma, h_k, \mathbb{R}^n)$*. If*

$$W(f_k) \leq \begin{cases} 8\pi - \delta & p = 1 \\ \min\{8\pi, \omega_p\} - \delta & p > 1 \end{cases}, \quad \delta > 0, \tag{1.2}$$

then the conformal class sequence represented by h_k *converges in* $\mathcal{M}_p$*.*

In other words, h_k converges to a metric h_0 smoothly. This was also proved by T. Rivière [R]. Then up to Möbius transformations, f_k will converge weakly in $W^{2,2}_{loc}(\Sigma \setminus \{\text{finite points}\}, h_0)$ to a $W^{2,2}(\Sigma, h_0)$-conformal immersion. In this way, we give a new proof of the existence of minimizer of Willmore functional with fixed genus.

(1.2) also gives us a hint that, it is the degeneration of complex structure that makes the trouble for the convergence of an immersion sequence with

$$\mu(f_k) + W(f_k) < C. \tag{1.3}$$

In [C-L], the Hausdorff limit of $\{f_k\}$ with (1.3) was studied, using conformal immersion as a tool. We proved that, the limit of f_0 is a conformal branched immersion from a stratified surface Σ_∞ into $\mathbb{R}^n$. Briefly speaking, if (Σ_0, h_0) is the limit of (Σ, h_k) in $\overline{\mathcal{M}_p}$, then f_k converges weakly in the $W^{2,2}$ sense in any component of Σ_0 away from the blowup points

$$\mathcal{S}(f_k) = \{p \in D : \lim_{r \to 0} \liminf_{k \to +\infty} \int_{B_r(p,h_0)} |A(f_k)|^2 d\mu_{f_k} \geq 4\pi\}.$$

Meanwhile, some bubble trees, which consist of $W^{2,2}$ branched conformal immersions of S^2 in $\mathbb{R}^n$ will appear. As a corollary, we get the following

Proposition 1.6. [C-L] *Let* $f_k : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^n$ *be a sequence of smooth immersions with* (1.3)*. Assume the Hausdorff limit of* $f_k(\Sigma)$ *is not a union of* $W^{2,2}$ *branched conformal immersed spheres. Then the complex structure of* c_k *induced by* f_k *diverges in the moduli space if and only if there are a seqence of closed curves* γ_k *which are nontrivial in* $H^1(\Sigma)$*, such that the length of* $f_k(\gamma_k)$ *converges to 0.*

Thus, when the conformal class induced by f_k diverges in the moduli space, topology will be lost. They are two reasons why the topology is lost. One reason is that Theorem 1.1 does not ensure the limit is an immersion on each component of Σ_0. If f_k converges to a point in some components, then some topologies are taken away. The other reason is that on each collar which is conformal to $Q(T_k) = S^1 \times [-T_k, T_k]$ with $T_k \to +\infty$, there must exist a sequence $t_k \in [-T_k, T_k]$ such that $f_k(S^1 \times \{t_k\})$ will shrink to a point.

It is not easy to calculate how many topologies are lost, but it is indeed possible to find where $\int_\Sigma K_{f_k} d\mu_{f_k}$ is lost. We have to study those bubbles which have nontrivial topologies but shrink to points. For this sake, we should check if those conformal immersion sequences which converge to points will converge to immersions after being rescaled:

Theorem 1.7. *Let Σ be a smooth connected Riemann surface without boundary, and $\Omega_k \subset\subset \Sigma$ be domains with*

$$\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2 \subset \cdots \Omega_k \subset \cdots, \quad \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \Omega_i = \Sigma.$$

Let $\{h_k\}$ be a smooth metric sequence over Σ which converges to h_0 in $C^\infty_{loc}(\Sigma)$, and $\{f_k\}$ be a conformal immersion sequence of (Ω_k, h_k) in $\mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying

1) $\mathcal{S}(f_k) := \{p \in \Sigma : \lim\limits_{r\to 0} \liminf\limits_{k\to+\infty} \int_{B_r(p,h_0)} |A_{f_k}|^2 d\mu_{f_k} \geq 4\pi\} = \emptyset.$

2) *$f_k(\Omega_k)$ can be extended to a closed compact immersed surface Σ_k with*

$$\int_{\Sigma_k} (1 + |A_{f_k}|^2) d\mu_{f_k} < \Lambda.$$

Take a curve $\gamma : [0,1] \to \Sigma$, and set $\lambda_k = diam\, f_k(\gamma[0,1])$. Then we can find a subsequence of $\frac{f_k - f_k(\gamma(0))}{\lambda_k}$ which converges weakly in $W^{2,2}_{loc}(\Sigma)$ to an $f_0 \in W^{2,2}_{conf,loc}(\Sigma, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Further, we can find an inverse $I = \frac{y-y_0}{|y-y_0|^2}$ with $y_0 \notin f_0(\Sigma)$ such that

$$\int_{\Sigma} (1 + |A_{I(f_0)}|^2) d\mu_{I(f_0)} < +\infty.$$

When Σ is a compact closed surface minus finitely many points, f_0 may not be compact. However, by Removability of singularity (see Theorem 2.3 in section 2), $I(f_0)$ is a conformal branched immersion. Thus f_0 is complete.

Definition 1.8. *We call f a generalized limit of f_k, if we can find a point $x_0 \notin \mathcal{S}(f_k)$ and a positive sequence λ_k which is equivalent to 1 or tends to 0, such that $\frac{f_k - f_k(x_0)}{\lambda_k}$ converges to f weakly in $W^{2,2}_{loc}(\Sigma \setminus \mathcal{S}(f_k))$.*

Obviously, if f and f' are both generalized limits of f_k, then $f = \lambda f' + b$ for some λ and b. We will not distinguish between f and f'.

Near the concentration points, we will get some bubbles. The divergence of complex structure also gives us some bubbles. In [C-L], we only considered the bubbles with $\lambda_k \equiv 1$. In this paper, we will study the bubbles with $\lambda_k \to 0$ which do not appear in the Hausdorff limit. All the bubbles can be considered as conformal branched immersions from $\mathbb{C}$ (or $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$, S^2) into $\mathbb{R}^n$. However, the structures of bubble trees here are much more complicated than those of harmonic maps. For example, there might exist infinite many bubbles here, therefore, we should neglect the bubbles which do not carry total Gauss curvature.

Definition 1.9. *We say a conformal branched immersion of $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ into $\mathbb{R}^n$ is trivial, if for any t,*

$$\int_{S^1\times\{t\}} \kappa \neq 2m\pi + \pi, \quad for \quad some \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The bubble trees constructed in this paper consist of finitely many branches. Small branches are on the big branches level by level. Each branch consists of nontrivial bubbles, bubbles with concentration, and the first bubble (see definitions in Section 4). We can classify the bubbles into four types: T_∞, T_0, B_∞ and B_0 (see Definition 4.2). We will show that a T_0 type bubble must follow a B_∞ type bubble, and a T_∞ type bubble must follow a B_0 type bubble.

Moreover, we have total Gauss curvature identity. To state the total Gauss curvature identity precisely, we have to divide it into 3 cases.

Hyperbolic case (genus> 1): Let Σ_0 be the stable surface in $\overline{\mathcal{M}_g}$ with nodal points $\mathcal{N} = \{a_1, \cdots, a_m\}$. Σ_0 is obtained by pinching some curves in a surface to points, thus $\Sigma_0 \setminus \mathcal{N}$

can be divided into finitely many components Σ_0^1, $\cdots$, Σ_0^s. For each Σ_0^i, we can extend Σ_0^i to a smooth closed Riemann surface $\overline{\Sigma_0^i}$ by adding a point at each puncture. Moreover, the complex structure of Σ_0^i can be extended smoothly to a complex structure of $\overline{\Sigma_0^i}$.

We say h_0 to be a hyperbolic structure on Σ_0 if h_0 is a smooth complete metric on $\Sigma_0 \setminus \mathcal{N}$ with finite volume and Gauss curvature -1. We define $\Sigma_0(a_j, \delta)$ to be the domain in Σ_0 which satisfies

$$a_j \in \Sigma_0(a_j, \delta), \quad and \quad injrad^{h_0}_{\Sigma_0 \setminus \mathcal{N}}(p) < \delta \quad \forall p \in \Sigma_0(a_j, \delta) \setminus \{a_j\}.$$

We set h_0^i to be a smooth metric over $\overline{\Sigma_0^i}$ which is conformal to h_0 on Σ_0^i. We may assume h_0^i has curvature ± 1 or curvature 0 and measure 1.

Now, we let Σ_k be a sequence of compact Riemann surfaces of fixed genus g whose metrics h_k have curvature -1, such that $\Sigma_k \to \Sigma_0$ in $\overline{\mathcal{M}_g}$. Then, there exist a maximal collection $\Gamma_k = \{\gamma_k^1, \dots, \gamma_k^m\}$ of pairwise disjoint, simply closed geodesics in Σ_k with $\ell_k^j = L(\gamma_k^j) \to 0$, such that after passing to a subsequence the following hold:

1. There are maps $\varphi_k \in C^0(\Sigma_k, \Sigma_0)$, such that $\varphi_k : \Sigma_k \backslash \Gamma_k \to \Sigma_0 \backslash \mathcal{N}$ is diffeomorphic and $\varphi_k(\gamma_k^j) = a_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$.
2. For the inverse diffeomorphisms $\psi_k : \Sigma_0 \backslash \mathcal{N} \to \Sigma_k \backslash \Gamma_k$, we have $\psi_k^*(h_k) \to h_0$ in $C^\infty_{loc}(\Sigma_0 \backslash \mathcal{N})$.
3. Let c_k be the complex structure over Σ_k, and c_0 be the complex structure over $\Sigma_0 \setminus \mathcal{N}$. Then
   $$\psi_k^*(c_k) \to c_0 \quad in \quad C^\infty_{loc}(\Sigma_0 \setminus \mathcal{N}).$$
4. For each γ_k^j, there is a collar U_k^j containing γ_k^j, which is isometric to cylinder
   $$Q_k^j = S^1 \times \left(-\frac{\pi^2}{l_k^j}, \frac{\pi^2}{l_k^j}\right), \quad with \quad metric \quad h_k^j = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi \sin(\frac{l_k^j}{2\pi} t + \theta_k)}\right)^2 (dt^2 + d\theta^2),$$
   where $\theta_k = \arctan(\sinh(\frac{l_k^j}{2})) + \frac{\pi}{2}$. Moreover, for any $(\theta, t) \in S^1 \times (-\frac{\pi^2}{l_k^j}, \frac{\pi^2}{l_k^j})$, we have
   $$\sinh(injrad_{\Sigma_k}(t, \theta)) \sin(\frac{l_k^j t}{2\pi} + \theta_k) = \sinh \frac{l_k^j}{2}. \tag{1.4}$$
   Let ϕ_k^j be the isometric between Q_k^j and U_k^j. Then $\varphi_k \circ \phi_k^j(T_k^j + t, \theta) \cup \varphi_k \circ \phi_k^j(-T_k^j + t, \theta)$ converges in $C^\infty_{loc}((-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty))$ to an isometric from $S^1 \times (-\infty, 0) \cup S^1 \times (0, +\infty)$ to $\Sigma_0(a_j, 1) \setminus \{a_j\}$.

Items 1) and 2) in the above can be found in Proposition 5.1 in [Hu]. The main part of 3) is just the collar Lemma.

Now, we consider a sequence $f_k \in W^{2,2}_{conf}(\Sigma, h_k, \mathbb{R}^n)$, with

$$\mu(f_k) + W(f_k) < \Lambda.$$

By Theorem 1.7, on each component Σ_k^i, $f_k \circ \psi_k$ has a generalized limit $f_0^i \in W^{2,2}_{conf}(\overline{\Sigma_k^i} \setminus A^i, h_0^i, \mathbb{R}^n)$, where A^i is a finite set. We have the following

Theorem 1.10. *Let f^1, f^2, $\cdots$ be all of the non-trivial bubbles of $\{f_k\}$. Then*

$$\sum_i \int_{\overline{\Sigma_k^i}} K_{f_0^i} d\mu_{f_0^i} + \sum_i \int_{S^2} K_{f^i} d\mu_{\varphi^i} = 2\pi \chi(\Sigma).$$

Torus case: Let $(\Sigma, h_k) = \mathbb{C}/(\pi, z)$, where $|z| \geq \pi$ and $|\mathrm{Re} z| \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$. We can write

$$(\Sigma, h_k) = S^1 \times \mathbb{R}/G_k,$$

where S^1 is the circle with perimeter 1 and $G_k \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is the transformation group generalized by

$$(t, \theta) \to (t + a_k, \theta + \theta_k), \quad where \ \ a_k \geq \sqrt{\pi^2 - \theta_k^2}, \quad and \ \ \theta_k \in [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}].$$

(Σ_k, h_k) diverges in $\mathcal{M}_1$ if and only if $a_k \to +\infty$.

Then any $f_k \in W^{2,2}_{conf}(\Sigma, h_k, \mathbb{R}^n)$ can be lifted to a conformal immersion $f_k' : S^1 \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ with

$$f_k'(t, \theta) = f_k'(t + a_k, \theta + \theta_k).$$

After translating, we may assume that $f_k'(-t + \frac{a_k}{2}, \theta)$ and $f_k'(t - \frac{a_k}{2}, \theta)$ have no concentrations. We let $\lambda_k = diam f_k'(S^1 \times \frac{a_k}{2})$, then $\frac{f_k'(-t+\frac{a_k}{2},\theta) - f(\frac{a_k}{2},0)}{\lambda_k}$ and $\frac{f_k'(t-\frac{a_k}{2},\theta) - f_k'(\frac{a_k}{2},\theta_k)}{\lambda_k}$ will converge to f_0^1 and f_0^2 respectively in $W^{2,2}_{loc}(S^1 \times [0, +\infty))$. However, they can be glued together via

$$f_0 = \begin{cases} f_0^1(-t, \theta) & t \leq 0 \\ f_0^2(t, \theta + \theta_0) & t > 0, \end{cases}$$

into a conformal immersion of $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, where $\theta_0 = \lim\limits_{k \to +\infty} \theta_k$. Then we have

Theorem 1.11.

$$\int_{S^1 \times \mathbb{R}} K_{f_0} d\mu_{f_0} + \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{S^1 \times \mathbb{R}} K_{f^i} d\mu_i = 0,$$

where $f^1, \cdots, f^m$ *are all of the non-trivial bubbles of* f_k'.

Sphere case: When Σ is the sphere, we can let $h_k \equiv h_0$. There is no bubble from collars. We have

Theorem 1.12. *Let* f_0 *be the generalized limit of* f_k. *Then*

$$\int_{S^2} K_{f_0} d\mu_{f_0} + \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{S^1 \times \mathbb{R}} K_{f^i} d\mu_{f^i} = 4\pi,$$

where $f^1, \cdots, f^m$ *are all of the non-trivial bubbles.*

Put Theorem 1.10–1.12 together, we get the main theorem of this paper, which is a precise version of Theorem 1.5:

Theorem 1.13. *Let* Σ_k *be a sequence of surfaces immersed in* $\mathbb{R}^n$ *with bounded Willmore functional. Assume* $g(\Sigma_k) = g$. *Then we can decompose* Σ_k *into finite parts:*

$$\Sigma_k = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \Sigma_k^i, \quad \Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j = \emptyset,$$

and find $p_k^i \in \Sigma_k^i$, $\lambda_k^i \in \mathbb{R}$, *such that* $\frac{\Sigma_k^i - p_k^i}{\lambda_k^i}$ *converges locally in the sense of varifolds to a complete branched immersed surface* Σ_∞^i *with*

$$\sum_i \int_{\Sigma_\infty^i} K_{\Sigma_\infty^i} = 2\pi(2 - 2g), \quad and \quad \sum_i W(\Sigma_\infty^i) \leq \lim_{k \to +\infty} W(\Sigma_k).$$

Remark 1.14. *Parts of Theorem 1.12 have appeared in* [L-L-T], *in which we assumed that* $\{f_k\} \subset W^{2,2}_{conf}(D, \mathbb{R}^n)$ *and does not converge to a point.*

2. Preliminary

2.1. Hardy estimate.

Let $f \in W^{2,2}_{conf}(D,\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $g_f = e^{2u}(dx^1 \otimes dx^1 + dx^2 \otimes dx^2)$ and $\int_D |A_f|^2 < 4\pi - \delta$. f induces a Gauss map

$$G(f) = e^{-2u}(f_1 \wedge f_2) : D \to G(2,n) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^{n-1}.$$

Following [M-S], we define the map $\Phi(f) : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ by

$$\Phi(f)(z) = \begin{cases} G(f)(z) & \text{if } z \in D \\ G(f)(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}) & \text{if } z \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\overline{D}. \end{cases}$$

Then $\Phi(f) \in W^{1,2}_0(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C}P^{n-1})$ and $\int_{\mathbb{C}} \Phi^*(f)(\omega) = 0$, where ω is the Kähler form of $\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}$. Thus by Corollary 3.5.7 in [M-S], $\Psi(f) = *\Phi^*(f)(\omega)$ is in Hardy space, and

$$\|\Psi(f)\|_{\mathcal{H}} < C(\delta)\|A_f\|_{L^2(D)}. \tag{2.1}$$

Note that

$$\Psi(f)|_D = K_f e^{2u}. \tag{2.2}$$

If we set that v solves the equation $-\Delta v = \Psi(f)$, $v(\infty) = 0$, then we have

$$\|v\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} < C\|\Psi(f)\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Noting that $u - v$ is harmonic on D, we get

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(D_{\frac{1}{2}})} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(D_{\frac{1}{2}})} + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^1(D_{\frac{1}{2}})} < C(\|\Psi(f)\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \|u\|_{L^1(D)}). \tag{2.3}$$

2.2. Gauss-Bonnet formula.

Let $f \in W^{2,2}_{conf}(\Sigma, g, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $g_f = e^{2u}g$. Let γ be a smooth curve. On γ, we define

$$\kappa_f = \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} + \kappa_g, \tag{2.4}$$

where n is one of the unit normal field along γ which is compatible to κ_g. By (2.3), $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}$ is well-defined. In [K-L], we proved that u satisfies the weak equation

$$-\Delta_g u = K_f e^{2u} - K_g.$$

Then, for any domain Ω with smooth boundary, we have the Gauss-Bonnet formula:

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \kappa_f = \chi(\overline{\Omega}) + \int_\Omega K_f d\mu_f.$$

2.3. Convergence of $\int K_{f_k} d\mu_{f_k}$.

By (2.1) (2.2) (2.3) and Theorem 1.1, we have :

Lemma 2.1. *Let f_k be a conformal sequence from D into $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $g_{f_k} = e^{2u_k} g_0$ and $\int_D |A_{f_k}|^2 d\mu_f \leq \gamma < 4\pi$, which converges to f_0 weakly. We assume f_0 is not a point map, and $g_{f_0} = e^{2u_0} g_0$. Then we can find a subsequence, such that*

$$K_{f_k} d\mu_{f_k} \rightharpoonup K_{f_0} d\mu_{f_0} \ \ \text{over} \ \ D_{\frac{1}{2}}, \ \ \text{in distribution,} \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$u_k \rightharpoonup u_0, \ \ \text{in} \ \ W^{1,2}(D_{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

We will use the following

Corollary 2.2. *Let f_k be a conformal sequence of $D \setminus D_{\frac{1}{2}}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, which converges to $f_0 \in W^{2,2}_{conf,loc}(D \setminus D_{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbb{R}^n)$. For any $t \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ with $\partial D_t \cap \mathcal{S}(f_k) = \emptyset$, we have*

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \int_{\partial D_t} \kappa_{f_k} ds_k = \int_{\partial D_t} \kappa_{f_0} ds_0.$$

Proof. Take $s \in (t, 1)$, such that $\mathcal{S}(f_k) \cap \overline{D_s \setminus D_t} = \emptyset$. Let $g_{f_k} = e^{2u_k} g_0$ and $\varphi \in C^\infty_0(D_s)$, which is 1 on D_t. Then we have

$$-\int_{\partial D_t} \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial r} ds = -\int_{D_s \setminus D_t} \nabla u_k \nabla \varphi d\sigma + \int_{D_s \setminus D_t} \varphi K_k e^{2u_k} d\mu_{f_k},$$

and the right-hand side will converge to

$$-\int_{D_s \setminus D_t} \nabla u_0 \nabla \varphi d\sigma + \int_{D_s \setminus D_t} \varphi K_0 e^{2u_0} d\mu_{f_0}, \quad as \quad k \to +\infty.$$

Then we get

$$-\int_{\partial D_t} \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial r} ds \to -\int_{\partial D_t} \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial r} ds.$$

By (2.4) we get

$$\int_{\partial D_t} \kappa_k \to \int_{\partial D_t} \kappa_0.$$

□

2.4. **Removability of singularity.** We have the following

Theorem 2.3. [K-L] *Suppose that $f \in W^{2,2}_{conf,loc}(D \backslash \{0\}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies*

$$\int_D |A_f|^2 \, d\mu_g < \infty \qquad and \qquad \mu_g(D) < \infty,$$

where $g_{ij} = e^{2u} \delta_{ij}$ is the induced metric. Then $f \in W^{2,2}(D, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(z) &= m \log |z| + \omega(z) \qquad where\ m \geq 0,\ z \in \mathbb{Z},\ \omega \in C^0 \cap W^{1,2}(D), \\ -\Delta u &= -2m\pi \delta_0 + K_g e^{2u} \qquad in\ D. \end{aligned}$$

The multiplicity of the immersion at $f(0)$ is given by

$$\theta^2\big(f(\mu_g \llcorner D_\sigma(0)), f(0)\big) = m + 1 \qquad for\ any\ small\ \sigma > 0.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \int_{\partial D_t} \kappa_f ds_f = 2\pi(m+1). \tag{2.6}$$

Proof. We only prove (2.6). For the proof of other part of the theorem, one can refer to [K-L].

Observe that

$$|\int_{\partial D_t} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} - \int_{\partial D_{t'}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}| = |\int_{D_t \backslash D_{t'}} K d\mu| \to 0$$

as $t, t' \to 0$. Then $\lim_{t \to 0} \int_{\partial D_t} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}$ exists.

Since $\omega \in W^{1,2}(D_r)$, we can find $t_k \in [2^{-k-1}, 2^{-k}]$, s.t.

$$(2^{-k} - 2^{-k-1}) \int_{\partial D_{t_k}} |\frac{\partial w}{\partial r}| = \int_{2^{-k-1}}^{2^{-k}} (\int_{\partial D_t} |\frac{\partial w}{\partial r}|) dt \leq C \|\nabla w\|_{L^2(D_{2^{-k}})} 2^{-k},$$

which implies that $\int_{\partial D_{t_k}} \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} \to 0$. Then we get $\int_{\partial D_{t_k}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \to 2\pi m$, which implies that

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \int_{\partial D_t} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \to 2\pi m.$$

□

Remark 2.4. *In the proof of Theorem 2.3 in* [K-L]*, we get that*

$$\lim_{z\to 0} \frac{|f(z)-f(0)|}{|z|^{m+1}} = \frac{e^{w(0)}}{m+1}. \tag{2.7}$$

We give the following definition:

Definition 2.5. *A map $f \in W^{2,2}(\Sigma, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is called a $W^{2,2}$- branched conformal immersion, if we can find finitely many points $p_1, \cdots, p_m$, s.t. $f \in W^{2,2}_{conf,loc}(\Sigma \setminus \{p_1, \cdots, p_m\})$, and*

$$\mu(f) < +\infty, \quad \int_\Sigma |A_f|^2 d\mu_f < +\infty.$$

For the behavior at infinity of complete conformally parameterized surfaces, we have the following

Theorem 2.6. *Suppose that $f \in W^{2,2}_{conf,loc}(\mathbb{C} \setminus D_R, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with*

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}\setminus D_R} |A_f|^2 \, d\mu_g < \infty,$$

where $g_{ij} = e^{2u}\delta_{ij}$ is the induced metric. We assume $f(\mathbb{C} \setminus D_{2R})$ is complete. Then we have

$$u(z) = m \log |z| + \omega(z) \quad \text{where } m \geq 0,\ z \in \mathbb{Z},\ \omega \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{C} \setminus D_{2R}).$$

Moreover, we have

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \int_{\partial D_t} \kappa_f ds_f = 2\pi(m+1). \tag{2.8}$$

The proof of (2.8) is similar to that of (2.6). Other part of the proof can be found in [M-S]. Though Muller-Sverak's result was stated for smooth surface, it is easy to check that their proof also holds for a $W^{2,2}$ conformal immersion.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.7

We first prove the following

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose (Σ, h_k) to be smooth Riemann surfaces, where h_k converges to h_0 in $C^\infty_{loc}(\Sigma)$. Let $\{f_k\} \subset W^{2,2}_{conf,loc}(\Sigma, h_k, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with*

$$\mathcal{S}(f_k) = \{p \in \Sigma : \lim_{r\to 0} \liminf_{k\to+\infty} \int_{B_r(p,h_0)} |A_{f_k}|^2 d\mu_{f_k} \geq 4\pi\} = \emptyset.$$

Then f_k converges in $W^{2,2}_{loc}(\Sigma, h_0, \mathbb{R}^n)$ to a point or an $f_0 \in W^{2,2}_{conf,loc}(\Sigma, h_0, \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. Let $g_{f_k} = e^{2u_k} h_k$. We only need to prove the following statement: for any $p \in \Sigma$, we can find a neighborhood V which is independent of $\{f_k\}$, such that f_k converges weakly to f_0 in $W^{2,2}(V, h_0)$. Moreover, $\|u_k\|_{L^\infty(V)} < C$ if and only if $f_0 \in W^{2,2}_{conf}(V, \mathbb{R}^n)$; $u_k \to -\infty$ uniformly, if and only if f_0 is a point map.

Now we prove this statement: Given a point p, we choose U_k, U_0, ϑ_k, ϑ_0 as in the Theorem 1.2. Set $\vartheta_k^*(h_k) = e^{2v_k} g_0$, where $g_0 = (dx^1)^2 + (dx)^2$. We may assume $v_k \to v_0$ in $C^\infty_{loc}(D)$.

Let $\hat{f}_k = f_k(\vartheta_k)$ which is a map from D into $\mathbb{R}^n$. It is easy to check that $\hat{f}_k \in W^{2,2}_{conf}(D, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g_{f_k} = e^{2u_k+2v_k}g_0$. By Theorem 1.1, we can assume that $\hat{f}_k$ converges to $\hat{f}_0$ weakly in $W^{2,2}(D_{\frac{3}{4}})$. Moreover, $\hat{f}_0$ is a point when $u_k + v_k \to -\infty$ uniformly on $D_{\frac{3}{4}}$, and a conformal immersion when $\sup_k \|u_k + v_k\|_{L^\infty(D_{\frac{3}{4}})} < +\infty$.

Let $V = \vartheta_0(D_{\frac{1}{2}})$. Since ϑ_k converges to ϑ_0, $\vartheta_k^{-1}(V) \subset D_{\frac{3}{4}}$ for any sufficiently large k and $f_k = \hat{f}_k(\vartheta_k^{-1})$ converges to $f_0 = \hat{f}_0(\vartheta_0^{-1})$ weakly in $W^{2,2}(V, h_0)$. Moreover, f_0 is a conformal immersion when $\|u_k\|_{L^\infty(V)} < C$, and a point when $u_k \to -\infty$ uniformly in V.

□

The proof of Theorem 1.7: When f_k converges to a conformal immersion weakly, the result is obvious. Now we assume that f_k converges to a point. For this case, $\lambda_k \to 0$.

Put $f'_k = \frac{f_k - f_k(\gamma(0))}{\lambda_k}$, $\Sigma'_k = \frac{\Sigma_k - f_k(\gamma(0))}{\lambda_k}$. We have two cases:

Case 1: $diam(f'_k) < C$. Letting ρ in inequality (1.3) in [S] tend to infinity, we get $\frac{\Sigma'_k \cap B_\sigma(\gamma(0))}{\sigma^2} \leq C$ for any $\sigma > 0$, hence we get $\mu(f'_k) < C$ by taking $\sigma = diam(f'_k)$. Then Lemma 3.1 shows that f'_k converges weakly in $W^{2,2}_{loc}(\Sigma, h_0)$. Since $diam\, f'_k(\gamma) = 1$, the weak limit is not a point.

Case 2: $diam(f'_k) \to +\infty$. We take a point $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and a constant $\delta > 0$, s.t.

$$B_\delta(y_0) \cap \Sigma'_k = \emptyset, \quad \forall k.$$

Let $I = \frac{y - y_0}{|y - y_0|^2}$, and

$$f''_k = I(f'_k), \quad \Sigma''_k = I(\Sigma'_k).$$

By conformal invariance of Willmore functional [C, W], we have

$$\int_{\Sigma''} |A_{\Sigma''}|^2 d\mu_{\Sigma''} = \int_\Sigma |A_\Sigma|^2 d\mu_\Sigma < \Lambda.$$

Since $\Sigma''_k \subset B_{\frac{1}{\delta}}(0)$, also by (1.3) in [S], we get $\mu(f''_k) < C$. Thus f''_k converges weakly in $W^{2,2}_{loc}(\Sigma \setminus \mathcal{S}(f''_k), h_0)$.

Next, we prove that f''_k will not converge to a point by assumption. If f''_k converges to a point in $W^{2,2}_{loc}(\Sigma \setminus \mathcal{S}(f''_k))$, then the limit must be 0, for $diam\,(f'_k)$ converges to $+\infty$. By the definition of f''_k, we can find a $\delta_0 > 0$, such that $f''_k(\gamma) \cap B_{\delta_0}(0, h_0) = \emptyset$. Thus for any $p \in \gamma([0,1]) \setminus \mathcal{S}(f''_k)$, f''_k will not converge to 0. A contradiction.

Then we only need to prove that f'_k converges weakly in $W^{2,2}_{loc}(\Sigma, h_0, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Let f''_0 be the limit of f''_k. By Theorem 2.3, f''_0 is a branched immersion of Σ in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\mathcal{S}^* = {f''_0}^{-1}(\{0\})$. By (2.7), $\mathcal{S}^*$ is isolate.

First, we prove that for any $\Omega \subset\subset \Sigma \setminus (\mathcal{S}^* \cup \mathcal{S}(\{f''_k\})$, f'_k converges weakly in $W^{2,2}(\Omega, h_0, \mathbb{R}^n)$: Since f''_0 is continuous on $\bar{\Omega}$, we may assume $dist(0, f''_0(\Omega)) > \delta > 0$. Then $dist(0, f''_k(\Omega)) > \frac{\delta}{2}$ when k is sufficiently large. Noting that $f'_k = \frac{f''_k}{|f''_k|^2} + y_0$, we get that f'_k converges weakly in $W^{2,2}(\Omega, h_0, \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Next, we prove that for each $p \in \mathcal{S}^* \cup \mathcal{S}(\{f''_k\})$, f'_k also converges in a neighborhood of p. We use the denotation U_k, U_0, ϑ_k and ϑ_0 with $\theta_k(0) = p$ again. We only need to prove that $\hat{f}'_k = f'_k(\vartheta_k)$ converges weakly in $W^{2,2}(D_{\frac{1}{2}})$.

Let $g_{\hat{f}'_k} = e^{2\hat{u}'_k}(dx^2 + dy^2)$. Since $\hat{f}'_k \in W^{2,2}_{conf}(D_{4r})$ with $\int_{D_{4r}} |A_{\hat{f}'_k}|^2 d\mu_{\hat{f}'_k} < 4\pi$ when r is sufficiently small and k sufficiently large, by the arguments in subsection 2.1, we can find a v_k

solving the equation

$$-\Delta v_k = K_{\hat{f}'_k} e^{2\hat{u}'_k}, \quad z \in D_r \quad and \quad \|v_k\|_{L^\infty(D_r)} < C.$$

Since f'_k converges to a conformal immersion in $D_{4r} \setminus D_{\frac{1}{4}r}$, by Theorem 1.1, we may assume that $\|\hat{u}'_k\|_{L^\infty(D_{2r}\setminus D_r)} < C$. Then $\hat{u}'_k - v_k$ is a harmonic function with $\|\hat{u}'_k - v_k\|_{L^\infty(\partial D_{2r}(z))} < C$, then we get $\|\hat{u}'_k(z) - v_k(z)\|_{L^\infty(D_{2r}(z))} < C$ by the Maximum Principle. Thus, $\|\hat{u}'_k\|_{L^\infty(D_{2r})} < C$, which implies $\|\nabla f'_k\|_{L^\infty(D_{2r})} < C$. By the equation $\Delta \hat{f}'_k = e^{2\hat{u}'_k} H_{\hat{f}'_k}$, and the fact that $\|e^{2\hat{u}'_k} H_{\hat{f}'_k}\|^2_{L^2(D_{2r})} < e^{\|\hat{u}'_k\|_{L^\infty}} \int_{D_{2r}} |H_{\hat{f}'_k}|^2 d\mu_{\hat{f}'_k}$, we get $\|\nabla \hat{f}'_k\|_{W^{1,2}(D_r)} < C$. Recalling that $\hat{f}'_k$ converges in $C^0(D_r \setminus D_{\frac{r}{2}})$, we complete the proof.

□

Remark 3.2. *In fact, we proved that $\mathcal{S}^* = \emptyset$.*

4. Analysis of the neck

For a sequence of conformal immersions from a surface into $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the conformal class divergence, the blowup comes from concentrations and collars. Both cases can be changed into a blowup analysis of a conformal immersion sequence of $S^1 \times [0, T_k]$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $T_k \to +\infty$. So we first analyze the blow up procedure on long cylinders without concentrations.

4.1. **Classification of bubbles of a simple sequence over an infinite cylinder.** Let f_k be an immersion sequence of $S^1 \times [0, T_k]$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $T_k \to +\infty$. We say f_k has concentration, if we can find a sequence $\{(\theta_k, t_k)\} \subset S^1 \times [0, T_k]$, such that

$$\lim_{r\to 0} \liminf_{k\to+\infty} \int_{D_r(\theta_k, t_k)} |A_{f_k}|^2 d\mu_{f_k} \geq 4\pi.$$

We say $\{f_k\}$ is simple if:

1) f_k has no concentration;
2) $f_k(S^1 \times [0, T_k])$ can be extended to a compact closed immersed surface Σ_k with

$$\int_{\Sigma_k} (1 + |A_{f_k}|^2) d\mu_{f_k} < \Lambda.$$

When $\{f_k\}$ is simple, we say f_0 is a bubble of f_k, if we can find a sequence $\{t_k\} \subset [0, T_k]$ with

$$t_k \to +\infty, \quad and \quad T_k - t_k \to +\infty,$$

such that f_0 is a generalized limit of $f_k(\theta, t_k + t)$. If f_0 is nontrivial, we call it a nontrivial bubble.

For convenience, we call the generalized limit of $f(\theta, t+T_k)$ and $f(\theta, t)$ the top and the bottom respectively. Note that the top and the bottom are in $W^{2,2}_{conf}(S^1 \times (-\infty, 0])$ and $W^{2,2}_{conf}(S^1 \times [0, +\infty))$ respectively.

Definition 4.1. *Let f^1 and f^2 be two bubbles which are limits of $f_k(\theta, t + t^1_k)$ and $f_k(\theta, t + t^2_k)$ respectively. We say these two bubbles are the same, if*

$$\sup_k |t^1_k - t^2_k| < +\infty.$$

When f^1 and f^2 are not the same, we say f^1 is in front of f^2 (or f^2 is behind f^1) if $t^1_k < t^2_k$. We say f^2 follows f^1, if f^2 is behind f^1 and there are no non-trivial bubbles between f^1 and f^2.

Obviously, the bubbles in this section must be in $W^{2,2}_{conf}(S^1 \times \mathbb{R})$, and must be one of the following:

1). S^2-type, i.e. $I(f^0)(S^1\times\{\pm\infty\})\neq 0$;
2). Catenoid-type, i.e. $I(f^0)(S^1\times\{\pm\infty\})=0$;
3). Plain-type, i.e. one and only one of $I(f^0)(S^1\times\{\infty\})$, $I(f^0)(S^1\times\{-\infty\})$ is 0,

where $I=\frac{y-y_0}{|y-y_0|^2}$, $y_0\notin f^0(S^1\times\mathbb{R})$.

We give another classification of bubbles:

Definition 4.2. *We call a bubble f^0 to be a bubble of*

type T_∞ if $diam f^0(S^1\times\{+\infty\})=+\infty$; type T_0 if $diam f^0(S^1\times\{+\infty\})=0$;
type B_∞ if $diam f^0(S^1\times\{-\infty\})=+\infty$; type B_0 if $diam f^0(S^1\times\{-\infty\})=0$.

We say f_k has m non-trivial bubbles, if we can not find the $(m+1)$-th non-trivial bubble for any subsequence of f_k.

Remark 4.3. *Let f_0 be a bubble. By* (2.6) *and* (2.8),

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty}\int_{S^1\times\{t\}}\kappa_{f^0}=2m^+\pi,\quad and\quad \lim_{t\to+\infty}\int_{S^1\times\{t\}}\kappa_{f^0}=2m^-\pi$$

for some m^+ and $m^-\in\mathbb{Z}$. Then f^0 is trivial implies that $\int_{S^1\times\mathbb{R}}K_{f^0}d\mu_{f^0}=0$. Thus both S^2 type of bubbles and catenoid type of bubbles are non-trivial.

Remark 4.4. *It is easy to check that $\mu(f^0)<+\infty$ implies that f^0 is a sphere-type bubble and is of type (B_0,T_0).*

Remark 4.5. *If $f^{0'}$ is a catenoid-type bubble, then it is of type (B_∞,T_∞); If $f^{0'}$ is a plain-type bubble, then it is of type (B_∞,T_0) or (B_0,T_∞).*

First, we study the case that f_k has no bubbles. Basically, we want to show that after scaling, the image of f_k will converge to a topological disk.

Lemma 4.6. *If f_k has no bubbles, then*

$$\frac{diam\, f_k(S^1\times\{1\})}{diam\, f_k(S^1\times\{T_k-1\})}\to 0\quad or\quad +\infty.$$

Proof. Assume this lemma is not true. Then we may assume $\frac{diam\, f_k(S^1\times\{1\})}{diam\, f_k(S^1\times\{T_k-1\})}\to\lambda\in(0,+\infty)$. Let $\lambda_k=diam f_k(S^1\times\{1\})$. By Theorem 3.1, $\frac{f_k(\theta,t)-f_k(0,1)}{\lambda_k}$ converges to f^B weakly in $W^{2,2}_{loc}(S^1\times(0,+\infty))$, and $\frac{f_k(\theta,t+T_k)-f_k(0,T_k-1)}{\lambda_k}$ converges to f^T weakly in $W^{2,2}_{loc}(S^1\times(-\infty,0))$ respectively.

When $diam f^B(S^1\times\{+\infty\})=0$, we set δ_k and t_k to be defined by

$$\delta_k=diam f_k(S^1\times\{t_k\})=\inf_{t\in[1,T_k-1]}f_k(S^1\times\{t\}).$$

Obviously, $\delta_k\to 0$, and $t_k\to+\infty$, $T_k-t_k\to+\infty$. $\frac{f_k(\theta,t)-f_k(0,t_k)}{\delta_k}$ will converge to a non-trivial bubble. A contradiction.

When $diam f^B(S^1\times\{+\infty\})=+\infty$, we set δ_k' and t_k' to be defined by

$$\delta_k'=diam f_k(S^1\times\{t_k'\})=\sup_{t\in[1,T_k-1]}f_k(S^1\times\{t\}),$$

then we can also get a bubble. □

Now we assume f_k has no bubbles, and $\frac{diam\, f_k(S^1\times\{1\})}{diam\, f_k(S^1\times\{T_k-1\})}\to+\infty$. Let $\lambda_k=diam\, f_k(S^1\times\{T_k-1\})$. The bottom f^B is the weak limit of $f_k'=\frac{f_k(\theta,t)-f_k(0,1)}{\lambda_k}$. Let ϕ be the conformal diffeomorphism from $D\setminus\{0\}$ to $S^1\times[0,+\infty)$. Then $f^B\circ\phi$ is an immersion of D in $\mathbb{R}^n$ perhaps with branch point 0. Moreover, by the arguments in [C-L] or in [C], we have

$$f^B(\phi(0))=\lim_{t\rightarrow+\infty}\lim_{k\rightarrow+\infty}f_k'(\theta,T_k-t).$$

Since $diam f_k'(S^1\times\{T_k-1\})\rightarrow 0$, $f_k'(\theta,T_k-t)$ converges to a point, then the Hausdorff limit of $f_k'((0,T_k))$ is a branched conformal immersion of D.

Remark 4.7. *In fact, the above results and arguments hold for a sequence $\{f_k\}$ which has neither S^2-type nor catenoid-type bubbles.*

Next, we show when $\{f_k\}$ has bubbles, how we will find out all of them. We need the following simple lemma:

Lemma 4.8. *After passing to a subsequence, we can find $0=d_k^0<d_k^1<\cdots<d_k^l=T_k$, where $l\leq\frac{\Lambda}{4\pi}$, such that*

$$d_k^i-d_k^{i-1}\rightarrow+\infty,\quad i=1,\cdots,l\ \ \textit{and}\int_{S^1\times\{d_k^i\}}\kappa_k=2m_i\pi+\pi,\quad m_i\in\mathbb{Z},\quad i=1,\cdots,l-1,$$

and

$$\lim_{T\rightarrow+\infty}\sup_{t\in[d_k^{i-1}+T,d_k^i-T]}\left|\int_{S^1\times\{t\}}\kappa_k-\int_{S^1\times\{d_k^{i-1}+T\}}\kappa_k\right|<\pi.$$

Proof. Let $\Lambda<4m\pi$. We prove the lemma by induction of m.

We first prove it is true for $m=1$. Let

$$\lim_{t\rightarrow+\infty}\lim_{k\rightarrow+\infty}\int_{S^1\times\{t\}}=2m_1\pi,\quad \lim_{t\rightarrow+\infty}\lim_{k\rightarrow+\infty}\int_{S^1\times\{T_k-t\}}=2m_2\pi,$$

where m_1 and m_2 are integers. Thus, we can find T, such that

$$\left|\int_{S^1\times\{T\}}\kappa_k-2m_1\pi\right|<\epsilon,\quad \textit{and}\quad \left|\int_{S^1\times\{T_k-T\}}\kappa_k-2m_2\pi\right|<\epsilon$$

when k is sufficiently large. Take a $t_0\in(T,T_k-T)$, such that

$$\int_{S^1\times[T,t_0]}|A_{f_k}|^2<2\pi,\quad \int_{S^1\times[t_0,T_k-T]}|A_{f_k}|^2\leq 2\pi.$$

By Gauss-Bonnet,

$$\left|\int_{S^1\times\{t\}}\kappa_k-\int_{S^1\times\{T\}}\kappa_k\right|\leq\int_{S^1\times[T,t]}|K_{f_k}|d\mu_{f_k}\leq\frac{1}{2}\int_{S^1\times[T,t_0]}|A_{f_k}|^2d\mu_{f_k}<\pi,\quad\forall t\in(T,t_0),$$

$$\left|\int_{S^1\times\{t\}}\kappa_k-\int_{S^1\times\{T_k-T\}}\kappa_k\right|\leq\frac{1}{2}\int_{S^1\times[t_0,T_k-T]}|A_{f_k}|^2d\mu_{f_k}<\pi,\quad\forall t\in(t_0,T_k-T).$$

Thus, we can take ϵ to be very small so that $\int_{S^1\times\{t\}}\neq 2i\pi$ for any $i\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $t\in(T,T_k-T)$.

Now, we assume the result is true for m, and prove it is also true for $m+1$. We have two cases.

Case 1, there is a sequence $\{t_k\}$, such that $t_k \to +\infty$, $T_k - t_k \to +\infty$, $\int_{S^1\times\{t_k\}} \kappa_k = 2m_k\pi + \pi$ for some $m_k \in \mathbb{Z}$. For this case, we let $f'_k = \frac{f_k(t+t_k,\theta)-f_k(t_k,0)}{\lambda_k}$ which converges weakly to f'_0, where $\lambda_k = diam f_k(S^1 \times \{t_k\})$. Then by Gauss-Bonnet

$$\int_{S^1\times\mathbb{R}} |K_{f'_0}| \geq \left|\int_{S^1\times(0,+\infty)} K_{f'_0}\right| + \left|\int_{S^1\times(-\infty,0)} K_{f'_0}\right| \geq 2\pi.$$

Thus, $\int_{S^1\times\mathbb{R}} |A_{f'_0}|^2 \geq 4\pi$. We can find T, such that

$$\int_{S^1\times[0,t_k-T]} |A_{f_k}|^2 < 4(m-1)\pi, \quad and \quad \int_{S^1\times[t_k+T,T_k]} |A_{f_k}|^2 < 4(m-1)\pi$$

when k is sufficiently large. Thus, we can use induction on $[0, t_k - T]$ to get $0 = \bar{d}_k^0 < \bar{d}_k^1 < \cdots < \bar{d}_k^{\bar{l}} = t_k - T$, and on $[t_k + T, T_k]$ to get $t_k + T = \tilde{d}_k^0 < \cdots < \tilde{d}_k^{\tilde{l}} = T_k$. We can set

$$d_k^i = \begin{cases} \bar{d}_k^i & i < \bar{l} \\ t_k & i = \bar{l} \\ \tilde{d}_k^{i-l} & i > \bar{l} \end{cases}$$

Then, we complete the proof.

□

Set $f_k^i = \frac{f_k(t+d_k^i,\theta)-f_k(d_k^i,0)}{diam\, f_k(S^1\times\{d_k^i\})}$, and assume $f_k^i \rightharpoonup f^i$. It is easy to check that

$$\lim_{T\to+\infty}\lim_{k\to+\infty}\int_{S^1\times\{d_k^i+T\}} \kappa_k = \lim_{T\to+\infty}\lim_{k\to+\infty}\int_{S^1\times\{d_k^{i+1}-T\}} \kappa_k,$$

we get

$$\lim_{T\to+\infty}\lim_{k\to+\infty}\int_{S^1\times[d_k^i+T,d_k^{i+1}-T]} K_{f_k} = 0.$$

Remark 4.9. *In fact, we can get that for any* $t_k < t'_k$ *with*

$$t_k - d_k^i \to +\infty, \quad and \quad d_k^{i+1} - t'_k \to +\infty,$$

we have

$$\lim_{k\to+\infty}\int_{S^1\times[t_k,t'_k]} K_{f_k} = 0.$$

Hence, we get

Proposition 4.10. *Let* f_k *be a simple sequence on* $S^1 \times [0, T_k]$. *Then after passing to a subsequence,* f_k *has finitely many bubbles. Moreover, we have*

$$\lim_{T\to+\infty}\lim_{k\to+\infty}\int_{S^1\times[T,T_k-T]} K_{f_k} d\mu_{f_k} = \sum_{i=1}^{m}\int_{S^1\times\mathbb{R}} K_{f^i} d\mu_{f^i},$$

where f^1, $\cdots$, f^m *are all of the bubbles.*

Next, we prove a property of the order of the bubbles.

Theorem 4.11. *Let* f^1, f^2 *be two bubbles. Then*

1). If f^1 *and* f^2 *are of type* T_0 *and* B_0 *respectively, then there is at least one catenoid-type bubble between them.*

2). If f^1 *and* f^2 *are of type* T_∞ *and* B_∞ *respectively, then there is at least one* S^2*-type bubble between* f^1 *and* f^2.

Proof. 1). Suppose $\frac{f_k(\theta,t_k^1+t)-f_k(0,t_k^1)}{diam\, f_k(S^1\times\{t_k^1\})} \rightharpoonup f^1$, and $\frac{f_k(\theta,t_k^2+t)-f_k(0,t_k^2)}{diam\, f_k(S^1\times\{t_k^2\})} \rightharpoonup f^2$.

Let t_k' be defined by

$$diam\, f_k(S^1\times\{t_k'\}) = \inf\{diam\, f_k(S^1\times\{t\}) : t\in[t_k^1+T, t_k^2-T]\}, \tag{4.1}$$

where T is sufficiently large. Since f^1 is of type T_0 and f^2 of type B_0, we get

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} diam\, f^1(S^1\times\{t\}) = 0, \quad and \quad \lim_{t\to-\infty} diam\, f^2(S^1\times\{t\}) = 0.$$

Then, we have

$$t_k' - t_k^1 \to +\infty, \quad t_k^2 - t_k' \to +\infty.$$

If we set $f_k'(t) = \frac{f_k(\theta,t_k'+t)-f_k(0,t_k')}{diam\, f_k(S^1\times\{t_k'\})}$, then f_k' will converge to a bubble f' with

$$diam\, f'(S^1\times\{0\}) = \inf\{diam\, f'(S^1\times\{t\}) : t\in\mathbb{R}\} = 1.$$

Thus, f' is a catenoid type bubble.

2). If we replace (4.1) with

$$diam\, f_k(S^1\times\{t_k'\}) = \sup\{diam\, f_k(S^1\times\{t\}) : t\in[t_k^1+T, t_k^2-T]\},$$

we will get 2).

□

The structure of the bubble tree of a simple sequence is clear now: *The S^2 type bubbles stand in a line, with a unique catenoid type bubble between the two neighboring S^2-type bubbles. There might exist plain-type bubbles between the neighboring S^2 type and catenoid type bubbles. A T_0 type bubble must follow a B_∞ type bubble, and a T_∞ type bubble must follow a B_0 type bubble.*

4.2. **Bubble trees for a sequence of immersed D.** In this subsection, we will consider a conformal immersion sequence $f_k : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\mathcal{S}(f_k) = \{0\}$. We assume that $f_k(D)$ can be extended to a closed embedded surface Σ_k with

$$\int_{\Sigma_k} (1+|A_{\Sigma_k}|^2)d\mu < \Lambda.$$

Take z_k and r_k, s.t.

$$\int_{D_{r_k}(z_k)} |A_{f_k}|^2 d\mu_{f_k} = 4\pi - \epsilon, \tag{4.2}$$

and $\int_{D_r(z)} |A_{f_k}|^2 d\mu_{f_k} < 4\pi - \epsilon$ for any $r < r_k$ and $D_r(z) \subset D_{\frac{1}{2}}$, where ϵ is sufficiently small.

We set $f_k' = f_k(z_k + r_k z) - f_k(z_k)$. Then $\mathcal{S}(f_k', D_L) = \emptyset$ for any L. Thus, we can find λ_k, s.t. $\frac{f_k'(z)}{\lambda_k}$ converges weakly to f^F which is a conformal immersion of $\mathbb{C}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$. We call f^F the first bubble of f_k at the concentration point 0.

It will be convenient to make a conformal change of the domain. Let (r,θ) be the polar coordinates centered at z_k. Let $\varphi_k : S^1\times\mathbb{R}^1 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be the mapping given by

$$r = e^{-t}, \theta = \theta.$$

Then

$$\varphi_k^*(dx^1\otimes dx^1 + dx^2\otimes dx^2) = \frac{1}{r^2}(dt^2 + d\theta^2).$$

Thus $f_k\circ\varphi_k$ can be considered as a conformal immersion of $S^1\times[0,+\infty)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$. For simplicity, we will also denote $f_k\circ\varphi_k$ by f_k.

Set $T_k = -\log r_k$. Similarly to Lemma 4.8, we have

Lemma 4.12. *There is* $t_k^0 = 0 < s_k^1 < s_k^2 < \cdots < s_k^l = T_k$, *such that* $l \leq \frac{\Lambda}{4\pi}$ *and*

1). $\int_{S^1\times(s_k^i-1,s_k^i+1)} |A_{f_k}|^2 \geq 4\pi$;

2). $\lim\limits_{T\to+\infty}\lim\limits_{k\to+\infty}\sup\limits_{t\in[d_k^i+T,d_k^{i+1}-T]} \int_{S^1\times(t-1,t+1)} |A_{f_k}|^2 < 4\pi$.

Let $f_k^i = f_k(\theta, s_k^i + t)$. A generalized limit of f_k^i is called a bubble with concentration (which may be trivial). There are $W^{2,2}$-conformal immersions of $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ with finite branch points and finite L^2 norm of the second fundamental form. However, if we neglect the concentration points, we can also define the types of T_∞, T_0, B_∞, and B_0 for it.

Obviously, we can find a T', such that f_k is simple on $S^1 \times [s_k^i + T', s_k^{i+1} - T']$. Note that the top of f_k on $S^1 \times [s_k^i + T', s_k^{i+1} - T']$ is just a part of a generalized limit of f_k^{i+1} and the bottom of f_k on $S^{\times}[s_k^i + T', s_k^{i+1} - T']$ is just a part of a generalized limit of f_k^{i-1}. We call the union of nontrivial bubbles of f_k on each $[s_k^i, s_k^{i+1}]$, the generalized limit of f_k^i and f^F the first level of bubble tree. By Proposition 4.10, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{r\to 0}\lim_{k\to+\infty}\int_{D_r} K_{f_k} &= \sum_{i=1}^{l}\lim_{T\to+\infty}\lim_{k\to+\infty}\int_{S^1\times[s_k^i-T'-T,s_k^i+T'+T]} K_{f_k^i}\\
&\quad+\sum_{i=0}^{l}\lim_{T\to+\infty}\lim_{k\to+\infty}\int_{S^1\times[s_k^i+T'+T,s_k^{i+1}-T'-T]} K_{f_k^i}\\
&= \sum_{(r,\theta)\in\mathcal{S}(\{f_k^i\})}\lim_{r\to0}\lim_{k\to+\infty}\int_{B_r(t,\theta)} K_{f_k^i} + \sum_j \int_{S^1\times\mathbb{R}} K_{f^j},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\{f^j\}$ are all the bubbles of the first level.

Next, at each concentration point of $\{f_k^i\}$, we get the first level of $\{f_k^i\}$. We usually call them the second level of bubble trees. Such a construction will stop after finite steps.

Lemma 4.13. *After passing to a subsequence,* f_k *has finitely many non-trivial bubbles. Moreover, for any* $r < 1$

$$\lim_{k\to+\infty}\int_{D_r} K_{f_k}d\mu_{f_k} = \int_{D_r} K_{f^0}d\mu_{f^0} + \sum_{i=1}^{m}\int_{S^1\times\mathbb{R}} K_{f^i}d\mu_{f^i},$$

where f^0 *is the generalized limit of* f_k, *and* f^1, f^2, $\cdots$, f^m *are all of the non-trivial bubbles.*

4.3. **Immersion sequence of cylinder which is not simple.** Now we assume f_k is not simple on $S^1 \times [0, T_k]$. We also assume $f_k(S^1 \times [0, T_k])$ can be extended to a closed immersed surface Σ_k with

$$\int_{\Sigma_k}(1 + |A_{\Sigma_k}|^2)d\mu < \Lambda.$$

Moreover, we assume $f_k(t, \theta)$ and $f_k(T_k + t, \theta)$ have no concentration.

Then we still have Lemma 4.12. The other properties are the same as those of the immersion of D. Moreover, we have

$$\lim_{k\to+\infty}\int_{S^1\times[0,T_k]} K_{f_k}d\mu_{f_k} = \int_{S^1\times[0,+\infty)} K_{f^B}d\mu_{f^B} + \int_{S^1\times(-\infty,0]} K_{f^T}d\mu_{f^T} + \sum_{i=1}^{m}\int_{\mathbb{C}} K_{f^i}d\mu_{f^i},$$

where f^1, $\cdots$, f^m are all of the nontrivial bubbles.

5. Proof of Theorem 1.10

Since Theorem 1.11 can be deduced directly from subsection 4.3, and Theorem 1.12 can be deduced directly from subsection 4.2, we only prove Theorem 1.10.

Proof of Theorem 1.10: Take a curve $\gamma_i \subset \Sigma_0^i \setminus \mathcal{S}(\{f_k \circ \psi_k\})$ with $\gamma_i(0) = p_i$. We set $\lambda_i = diam\, f_k(\gamma_i)$, and $\tilde{f}_k^i = \frac{f_k \circ \psi_k - f_k \circ \psi_k(p_i)}{\lambda_k^i}$ which is a mapping from Σ_0^i into $\mathbb{R}^n$. It is easy to check that $\tilde{f}_k^i \in W^{2,2}_{conf,loc}(\Sigma_0^i, \psi_k^*(h_k), \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Given a point $p \in \Sigma_0^i$. We choose U_k, U_0, ϑ_k, ϑ_0 as in the Theorem 1.2. Let $\hat{f}_k^i = \tilde{f}_k^i(\vartheta_k)$ which is a map from D into $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $V = \vartheta(D_{\frac{1}{2}})$. Since ϑ_k converges to ϑ_0, $\vartheta_k^{-1}(V) \subset D_{\frac{3}{4}}$ for any sufficiently large k.

When p is not a concentration point, by Lemma 2.1, for any φ with $supp\varphi \subset\subset V$, we have

$$\int_V \varphi K_{\tilde{f}_k^i} d\mu_{\tilde{f}_k^i} = \int_{D_{\frac{3}{4}}} \varphi(\vartheta_k) K_{\hat{f}_k^i} d\mu_{\hat{f}_k^i} \to \int_{D_{\frac{3}{4}}} \varphi(\vartheta_0) K_{\hat{f}_0^i} = \int_V \varphi K_{f_0^i} d\mu_{f_0^i}.$$

When p is a concentration point, by Lemma 4.13, we get

$$\int_V \varphi K_{\tilde{f}_k^i} d\mu_{\tilde{f}_k^i} \to \int_V \varphi K_{f_0^i} d\mu_{f_0^i} + \varphi(p) \sum_j \int_{S^1 \times \mathbb{R}} K_{f_j^i} d\mu_{f_j^i},$$

where $\{f_j^i\}$ is the set of nontrivial bubbles of $\hat{f}_k^i$ at p.

Next, we consider the convergence of f_k at the collars. Let a^j be the intersection of $\overline{\Sigma_0^i}$ and $\overline{\Sigma_0^{i'}}$. We set $\check{f}_k^j = f_k(\phi_k^j)$, and $T_k^j = \frac{\pi^2}{l_k^j} - T$. We may choose T to be sufficiently large such that $\check{f}_k^j(T_k^j - t, \theta)$ and $\check{f}_k^j(-T_k^j + t, \theta)$ have no blowup point. Then $\check{f}_k^j$ satisfies the conditions in subsection 2.4. So the convergence of $\check{f}_k^j$ is clear. Since

$$\check{f}_k^j = f_k \circ \phi_k^j = f_k \circ \psi_k \circ (\varphi_k \circ \phi_k^j) = \tilde{f}_k(\varphi_k \circ \phi_k^j).$$

The images of the limit of $\check{f}_k^j(T_k^j - t, \theta)$ and $\check{f}_k^j(-T_k^j + t, \theta)$ are parts of the images of $\tilde{f}_0^i$ and $\tilde{f}_0^{i'}$. Then we have

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \lim_{k \to +\infty} \int_{\Sigma_0(\delta, a^j)} K_{f_k} = \sum_i \int_{S^1 \times \mathbb{R}} K_{f^{i'}},$$

where all $f^{i'}$ are nontrivial bubbles of $\check{f}_k^j$.

□

6. A remark about trivial bubbles

The methods in section 4 can be also used to find all bubbles with $\|A\|_{L^2} \geq \epsilon_0$ for a fixed $\epsilon_0 > 0$. We only consider the simple sequence f_k on $S^1 \times [0, T_k]$ here.

Let t_k be a sequence with $t_k, T_k - t_k \to \infty$, such that $\frac{f_k(t + t_k, \theta) - f_k(t_k, 0)}{\lambda_k}$ converges to a $f_0 \in W^{2,2}(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\int_{S^1 \times \mathbb{R}} |A_{f_0}|^2 \geq \epsilon_0^2$. Take T, such that $\int_{S^1 \times [-T, T]} |A_{f_0}|^2 \geq \frac{\epsilon_0^2}{2}$. We consider the convergence on $S^1 \times [0, t_k - T]$ and $S^1 \times [t_k + T, T_k]$ respectively. In this way, we can find out all the bubbles.

References

[B-K] M. Bauer and E. Kuwert: Existence of minimizing Willmore surfaces of prescribed genus. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, **10** (2003), 553-576.

[Bl] W. Blaschke: Vorlesungen über Differentialgeometrie, III, Springer 1929.

[C] B. Y. Chen: Some conformal invariants of submanifolds and their applications, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.* **10** (1974), 380–385.

[C-L] J. Chen, Y. Li: Bubble tree of a class of conformal mapping & applications to Willmore functional. *Prepare.*

[Ch] L. Chen: Convergence behaviors of a conformal immesrion sequence of cylinders. *Preprint.*

[D-K] D. DeTurck and J. Kazdan: Some regularity theorems in Riemannian geometry. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **14** (1981), 249–260.

[H] F. Hélein: Harmonic maps, conservation laws and moving frames. Translated from the 1996 French original. With a foreword by James Eells. Second edition. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 150. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.

[Hu] C. Hummel: Gromov's compactness theorem for pseudo-holomorphic curves. *Progress in Mathematics* **151**, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel (1997)

[K] R. Kusner: Comparison surfaces for the Willmore problem. *Pacific J. Math.*, **138** (1989), 317–345.

[K-L] E. Kuwert and Y. Li: $W^{2,2}$-conformal immersions of a closed Riemann surface into $\mathbb{R}^n$. *arXiv:1007.3967.*

[K-S] E. Kuwert and R. Schätzle: The Willmore flow with small initial energy. *J. Differential Geom.*, **57** (2001), 409–441.

[K-S2] E. Kuwert and R. Schätzle: Removability of point singularities of Willmore surfaces, *Ann. of Math.* **160** (2004), 315–357.

[K-S3] E. Kuwert and R. Schätzle: Closed surfaces with bounds on their Willmore energy, *Preprint Centro di Ricerca Matematica Ennio De Giorgi, Pisa* 2008.

[L-L-T] Y. Luo, Y. Li, H. Tang: On the convergence of a conformal map sequence from 2-disk to $\mathbb{R}^n$. Preprint

[M] W.P. Minicozzi II: The Willmore functional on Lagrangian tori: its relation to area and existence of smooth minimizers, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **8** (1995) 761-791.

[M-S] S. Müller and V. Šverák: On surfaces of finite total curvature, *J. Differential Geom.* **42** (1995), 229–258.

[R] T. Rivière: Lipschitz conformal Immersions from degenerating surfaces with L^2-bounded second fundamental form. Preprint.

[S] L. Simon: Existence of surfaces minimizing the Willmore functional, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **1** (1993), 281–326.

[Sh] R. Schätzle: The Willmore boundary problem, *Cal. Var. P.D.E.*, **37** (2010), 275-302.

[T] G. Thomsen: Über Konforme Geometrie, I: Grundlagen der Konformen Fläschentheorie. *Abh. Math. Sem. Hamburg* **3** (1923) 31-56.

[W] T. J. Willmore: Total Curvature in Riemannian Geometry, John Wiley & Sons, New York (1982).